



**City of White Settlement
RFP #2026-001
PARKS MOWING SERVICES**

ADDENDUM #01

Answers to questions asked from www.publicpurchase.com

Question asked: Is there a previous bid tab, if so can we get a copy?

Answer: Yes, ADDENDUM #01 contains the bid evaluations from the previous request for proposal, RFP 2021-002 Parks Mowing.

You must sign below and submit this page with your proposal acknowledging receipt of this addendum.

Company Name

Signature of Authorized Representative

RFP: 2021-002

Evaluator _____

EVALUATION MATRIX

Respondent: _____

	CRITERIA	SCORE (0-10)	WEIGHT	TOTAL
A	Management & Approach: Demonstrates understanding of the scope of services.		25%	
B	Industry Experience: History of completing similar contracts; character, integrity, reputation, judgement & efficiency		25%	
C	Equipment & Production Capability: Does the equipment inventory meet the needs of the service during peak times? Enough to cover if equipment is downed?		20%	
D	Responsiveness of Proposal: Completeness and clarity of required information demonstrating quality of service		10%	
E	Price: Completed Appendix A; evaluation by Purchasing Department		20%	XXXXXXXXXX

TOTAL	
--------------	--

NOTES:

A _____

B _____

C _____

D _____

**RFP #2021-002: Parks Mowing
EVALUATIONS**

Price Scoring: SRH has lowest pricing and is scored 10 points. Other vendors' score determined by dividing their price into Lowest price.

PRESTIGE WORLDWIDE SERVICES

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	8	25%	2
B	7	25%	1.75
C	9	20%	1.8
D	10	10%	1
E	5.04	20%	1.008
			6.55

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	5	25%	1.25
B	5	25%	1.25
C	5	20%	1
D	10	10%	1
E	5.04	20%	1.008
			4.5

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	8	25%	2
C	6	20%	1.2
D	10	10%	1
E	5.04	20%	1.008
			5.7

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score	
A	6.55	
B	4.5	
C	5.7	
		5.583333

PRESTIGE WORLDWIDE SERVICES

EARTHTONES MAINTENANCE

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	8	25%	2
B	8	25%	2
C	8	20%	1.6
D	1	10%	0.1
E	6.81	20%	1.362
			5.7

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	5	25%	1.25
B	6	25%	1.5
C	4	20%	0.8
D	2	10%	0.2
E	6.81	20%	1.362
			3.75

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	8	25%	2
B	8	25%	2
C	7	20%	1.4
D	1	10%	0.1
E	6.81	20%	1.362
			5.5

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score	
A	5.7	
B	3.75	
C	5.5	
		4.983333

EARTHTONES MAINTENANCE

**HARRIS BEST LAWN CARE
DECLARED NON-RESPONSIVE**

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A		25%	0
B		25%	0
C		20%	0
D		10%	0
E	3.09	20%	0.618
			0

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A		25%	0
B		25%	0
C		20%	0
D		10%	0
E	3.09	20%	0.618
			0

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A		25%	0
B		25%	0
C		20%	0
D		10%	0
E	3.09	20%	0.618
			0

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score	
A	0	
B	0	
C	0	
		0

HARRIS BEST LAWN CARE

YELLOWSTONE LANDSCAPE

MG LAWN AND LANSCAPE

GI-MOW

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	10	25%	2.5
B	8	25%	2
C	7	20%	1.4
D	10	10%	1
E	6.36	20%	1.272
			6.9

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	8	25%	2
B	8	25%	2
C	9	20%	1.8
D	10	10%	1
E	8.1	20%	1.62
			6.8

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	7	25%	1.75
B	7	25%	1.75
C	8	20%	1.6
D	10	10%	1
E	4.74	20%	0.948
			6.1

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	4	25%	1
B	7	25%	1.75
C	4	20%	0.8
D	10	10%	1
E	6.36	20%	1.272
			4.55

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	7	25%	1.75
B	8	25%	2
C	8	20%	1.6
D	10	10%	1
E	8.1	20%	1.62
			6.35

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	7	25%	1.75
C	5	20%	1
D	10	10%	1
E	4.74	20%	0.948
			5.25

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	7	25%	1.75
C	6	20%	1.2
D	10	10%	1
E	6.36	20%	1.272
			5.45

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	8	25%	2
B	7	25%	1.75
C	7	20%	1.4
D	10	10%	1
E	8.1	20%	1.62
			6.15

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	7	25%	1.75
C	8	20%	1.6
D	10	10%	1
E	4.74	20%	0.948
			5.85

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score	
A	6.9	
B	4.55	
C	5.45	
		5.633333

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score	
A	6.8	
B	6.35	
C	6.15	
		6.433333

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score	
A	6.1	
B	5.25	
C	5.85	
		5.733333

YELLOWSTONE LANDSCAPE

MG LAWN AND LANSCAPE

GI-MOW

BRIGHTVIEW LANDSCAPE SERICES

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	8	25%	2
B	8	25%	2
C	9	20%	1.8
D	10	10%	1
E	8	20%	1.6
			6.8

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	5	25%	1.25
C	5	20%	1
D	10	10%	1
E	8	20%	1.6
			4.75

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	7	25%	1.75
C	7	20%	1.4
D	10	10%	1
E	8	20%	1.6
			5.65

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score
A	6.8
B	4.75
C	5.65
5.733333	

BRIGHTVIEW LANDSCAPE SERICES

SRH LANDSCAPES

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	6	25%	1.5
C	7	20%	1.4
D	9	10%	0.9
E	10	20%	2
			5.3

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	5	25%	1.25
B	5	25%	1.25
C	6	20%	1.2
D	9	10%	0.9
E	10	20%	2
			4.6

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A	6	25%	1.5
B	7	25%	1.75
C	7	20%	1.4
D	8	10%	0.8
E	10	20%	2
			5.45

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score
A	5.3
B	4.6
C	5.45
5.116667	

SRH LANDSCAPES

WCD ENTERPRISES

NON-RESPONSIVE

Evaluator A

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A		25%	0
B		25%	0
C		20%	0
D		10%	0
E	4.03	20%	0.806
			0

Evaluator B

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A		25%	0
B		25%	0
C		20%	0
D		10%	0
E	4.03	20%	0.806
			0

Evaluator C

Criteria	Score	Weight	Total
A		25%	0
B		25%	0
C		20%	0
D		10%	0
E	4.03	20%	0.806
			0

Combined Average

Evaluator	Score
A	0
B	0
C	0
0	

WCD ENTERPRISES

RANKING AFTER PROPOSAL EVALUATION

- 1 MG
- 2T GI-MOW
- 2T BRIGHTVIEW
- 4 YELLOWSTONE
- 5 PRESTIGE
- 6 SRH
- 7 EARTHTONES
- NR HARRIS BEST
- NR WCD

Harris Best Lawncare determined to be non-responsive because not all of the required documentation was submitted with the proposal.

WCD Enterprises determined to be non-responsive because not all of the required documentation was submitted with the proposal.

After the proposal evaluation, MG, GI-Mow, and Brightview were invited for interviews. After the interviews, MG was selected as the recommended awardee.